

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 8 2012 MAP _ 5 DM

1595 WYNKOOP STREET DENVER, CO 80202-1129 Phone 800-227-8917

http://www.epa.gov/region08

2013 MAR -5 PM 2:50

EPA REGION VIII

DOCKET NO.: CWA-08-2013-0008

IN THE MATTER OF:)
53 CORPORATION LTD.) FINAL ORDER
)
RESPONDENT)

Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §22.13(b) and 22.18, of EPA's Consolidated Rules of Practice, the Consent Agreement resolving this matter is hereby approved and incorporated by reference into this Final Order. The Respondent is hereby **ORDERED** to comply with all of the terms of the Consent Agreement, effective immediately upon receipt by Respondent of this Consent Agreement and Final Order.

SO ORDERED THIS DAY OF Mac , 2013.

Elyana R. Sutin

Regional Judicial Officer



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Region 8 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, Colorado 80202

2013 JAN 22 AM 10: 50

EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Docket Number: CWA-08-2013-0008 , NPDES Permit No. COR03J721

In the Matter of: 53 Corporation, LLC CLERK

Docket No: CWA-08-2013-0008

This Expedited Settlement Agreement (Agreement) is entered into between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and 53 Corporation, LLC (Respondent), a Colorado corporation and a "person" within the meaning of section 502(5) of the Clean Water Act (Act), 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5).

The EPA finds that Respondent failed to comply with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) storm water permit cited above, which was issued pursuant to section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342, and that Respondent is responsible for the deficiencies specified in the attached Expedited Settlement Offer Deficiencies Form (Form), which is incorporated by reference. The EPA also finds, and Respondent admits, that the EPA is authorized to assess administrative penalties for NPDES permit violations pursuant to section 309 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319, and 40 C.F.R. part 22, and that the Regional Judicial Officer for EPA Region 8 has jurisdiction to issue a Final Order incorporating this Consent Agreement (Agreement) under section 309(g)(2)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(A), and 40 C.F.R. § 22.13(b). Respondent neither admits nor denies the deficiencies specified in the Form.

The parties enter into this Agreement in order to settle civil penalty liability for the violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$6,500.00. Respondent consents to the assessment of this penalty and waives the right to: (1) contest the statements in the Form; (2) participate in a hearing pursuant to section 309(g)(2) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2); and (3) appeal Water Technical Enforcement Program pursuant to section 309(g)(8), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(8).

Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false statement to the United States Government, that any deficiencies identified in the Form have been corrected. Respondent shall submit a written report with this Agreement detailing the specific actions taken to correct all violations cited in the Form. Respondent also certifies that, within ten (10) days of the Agreement becoming effective (the effective date is thirty (30) days from the date it is signed by the Regional Judicial Officer), Respondent shall submit a bank, cashiers or certified check, with the case name and docket number noted, for the amount specified above payable to the Treasurer, United States of America, to:

> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Fines and Penalties Cincinnati Finance Center P.O. Box 979077 St. Louis, MO 69197-9000

This Agreement settles the EPA's civil penalty claims against Respondent for the violation(s) specified in the Form. The EPA does not waive its rights to take any enforcement action against Respondent for any other past, present, or future civil or criminal violation of the Act, any permit issued under the Act, or of any other federal statute or regulation. The EPA does not waive its right to issue a compliance order for any uncorrected deficiencies or violation(s) described in the Form. The EPA has determined

This Agreement is binding on the parties signing below and effective thirty (30) days from the date it is signed by the Regional Judicial Officer, unless a petition to set aside the Order approving this Agreement is filed by a commenter pursuant to section 309(g)(4)(C) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(4)(C), or a hearing is requested under section 309(g)(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(5).

APPROVED BY THE EPA:

this Agreement to be appropriate.

Arturo Palomares, Director

Office of Enforcement, Compliance

And Environmental Justice

Maraned & Cleun) Livingston

James Eppers, Supervisory Attorney

Legal Enforcement Program

Office of Enforcement, Compliance

And Environmental Justice

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name of individual signing (printed)

CORPORATE DECRETAR Title of individual signing (printed)

Signature

Date: 1/17/

Date: 12-21./2

Having determined that this Agreeme IT IS SO ORDERED:	ent is authorized by law,
Hon. Elyana R. Sutin Regional Judicial Officer	Date:

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 8 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, CO 80202-1129

PUBLIC NOTICE OF PROPOSED EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT

Action: The EPA is providing notice of the opportunity to comment on a proposed expedited settlement agreement. The agreement relates to alleged violations of a Clean Water Act (CWA) storm water permit at the North Sterling Reservoir State Park Marina in Logan County, Colorado which is being constructed by 53 Corporation, LLC (53 Corporation). The corporate address of 53 Corporation is 216 Malibu Street, Castle Rock, Colorado 80109.

Summary: The EPA is authorized by section 309(g)(2) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2), and by 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.38, to issue an order assessing a civil administrative penalty for violations of certain CWA requirements, after providing (1) an opportunity for the person to be assessed the penalty (Respondent) to request a hearing to contest the penalty, and (2) notification to the public of its rights to submit written comments and to participate in any hearing. The deadline for the public to submit comments is forty days after issuance of this notice.

The EPA and 53 Corporation have agreed to enter into an expedited settlement agreement to resolve the EPA's claims that 53 Corporation violated the requirements listed below. 53 Corporation has agreed to pay a civil penalty of \$6,500.00 to resolve its civil penalty liability for these claims. Pursuant to section 309(g)(4) of the CWA, the EPA hereby notifies the public of the opportunity to comment on this proposed penalty assessment.

EPA Docket Number for proposed expedited settlement: CWA-08-2013-0008

Alleged violations: (1) Failure to develop a stormwater management plan, (2) Failure to conduct required self-inspections from the start of the construction project on approximately October 8, 2012 until the date of the EPA's inspection on December 5, 2012 and (3) Failure to implement stormwater controls to minimize discharges of sediment and other pollutants into waters of the United States.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Written comments on the expedited settlement agreement are encouraged and will be accepted at the address listed below for a period of forty (40) days after the publication of this notice. Written comments submitted by the public as well as information submitted by Respondent will be available for public review, subject to the provisions of law restricting the disclosure of confidential information. Any person submitting written comments has a right to participate in a hearing, if one is held. The complaint is available for review between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. at the address listed below and on the internet at: http://yosemite.epa.gov/oa/rhc/epaadmin.nsf.

Please submit written comments to:

Tina Artemis (8RC) Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. EPA, Region 8 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, CO 80202-1129 Telephone: (303) 312-6765

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Persons wishing to receive a copy of the expedited settlement agreement or other documents in this proceeding (such as the regulations in 40 C.F.R. part 22, which establish procedures for the hearing), or to comment upon the proposed penalty assessment or upon any other aspect of the matter, should contact the Regional Hearing Clerk identified above.

Expedited Settlement Offer Worksheet Deficiencies Form

Consult instructions regarding eligibility criteria and procedures prior to use

version 10.3.4

LEGAL NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF OPERATOR



NPDES Permit Number

2.20

	LEGAL MAILE AND MALENTO ADDITION OF CITE AND IN	receptione trainber	IN DEG	CHINIC HUNING	
1	Carol Hoyt, Registered Agent	720-733-0192	COR03J7	721	
	53 Corporation, LLC 1100 Whispering Oak Drive Castle Rock, CO 80104	Inspector Name: Inspector Agency: Entrance Interview Condu	Stephanie Gieck and Alysia Tien US EPA		
_		Exit Interview Conducted Exit Interview given to:	cted.	Yes	
	LOCATION AND ADDRESS OF SITE		Ken Hoyt, Project Superinten		intendent
2	North Sterling Reservoir State Park Marina County Road 33 and County Road 330 Logan County, Colorado 80751	Exit Interview time:	9:15	Date:	12/05/2012
	FACILITY DESCRIPTION / CONTACT NAMES	WIND WAR			
	Name of Site Contact (ESO Worksho	eet recipient): Carol Hoyt, Registered Ag	ent		
	Name of Authorized Official (40	CFR 122.22): Ken Hoyt, Project Superin	tendent		
- 1	Ins	pection Date: 12/05/2012			
- 1	Start Const	truction Date: 10/09/2012			
-1	Estimated Completion Const	truction Date: 01/31/2013			
- 1	Will a bit of the William Committee of the Wil	11			

If Unpermitted, Number of Months Unpermitted: N/A

Name of Receiving Water Body (Indicate whether 303(d) listed): North Sterling Reservoir
Acres Currently Disturbed | Acres to be Disturbed in Whole Common Plan: 2.20
Has Operator Requested Rainfall Erosivity or TMDL Waiver per 44 CFR 122.26(b)(15)?

Telephone Number

	PERMIT COVERAGE	Findings	Citation Reference**	R C A*	No. of Deficien- cies	Dollar Amount	Tota
3	Operator unpermitted formonths (# months unpermitted equals number of violations)		CWA 301			\$500.00 =	
	SWMP REVIEW						
4	SWMP not prepared (If no SWMP, leave elements 5 - 30 blank)	The site had not prepared a SWMP. Develop and implement a SWMP meeting the requirements of the permit. Provide a copy to the EPA.	CO CGP I.B.1	Yes	1	\$5,000.00 =	\$5,000
5	SWMP prepared but prepared after construction start (# of months = # of violations)		CO CGP I.D.5,a			\$75.00 =	
6	SWMP does not identify all potential sources of pollution to include: port-a-lets, fuel tanks, staging areas, waste containers, chemical storage areas, concrete washout, paints, solvents, etc.		CO CGP I.B.2.a, I.C.1.f, & I.C.3.b	1		\$250.00 =	
7	SWMP does not identify all operators for the project site and the areas of the site over which each operator has control		CO CGP N/A			\$500.00 =	
8	SWMP does not have site description, as follows:		- 17 17-9		4-10		
1	Nature of activity in description	1	CO CGP I.C.1.a			\$100.00 =	-
	Intended sequence of major activities	1	CO CGP I.C.1.b			\$100.00 =	
	Total disturbed acreage	1)	CO CGP I.C.1.c			\$100.00 =	
	General location map	1	CO CGP N/A			\$100.00 =	
T	Site map		CO CGP I.C.2	11		\$500.00 =	
	F Site map does not show drainage patterns, slopes, areas of disturbance, locations of major controls, structural practices shown, stabilization practices, offsite materials, waste, borrow or equipment storage areas, surface waters, discharge points, areas of final stabilization (count each omission under 8F as 1 violation)		CO CGP I.C.2 a - h			\$50.00 =	
7	Location/description industrial activities, like concrete or asphalt batch plants		CO CGP I.C.2.e, I.C.3.b.11, & I.C.3.c.5			\$500.00 =	

1	SWMP does not! A Describe all pollution control measures (e.g. BMPs)	CO CGP I B.2.b, I.C.3,	\$750,00 =
E	B Describe sequence for implementation	& I.C.3.c CO CGP I.C.3.c.3	\$250,00 =
	Detail operator(s) responsible for implementation	CO CGP I.C.3,a	\$250.00 =
10	SWMP does not describe interim stabilization practices	CO CGP I.C.3.c.2	\$250.00 =
1	SWMP does not describe permanent stabilization practices	CO CGP I.C.3.c.2 & I.C.4	\$250.00 =
12	SWMP does not describe a schedule to implement stabilization practices	CO CGP I.C.3.c.2	\$250.00 =
13	Following dates are not recorded: major grading activities; construction temporarily or permanently ceased; stabilization measures initiated (count each omission under 13 as 1 violation)	CO CGP N/A	\$250.00 =
14	SWMP does not have description of structural practices to divert flows from exposed soils, retain flows, or limit runoff from exposed areas	CO CGP I.C.3.c.1	\$500.00 =
15	SWMP does not have a description of measures that will be installed during the construction process to control pollutants in storm water discharges that will occur AFTER construction operations have been completed	CO CGP I.C.4.a	\$500.00 =
16	SWMP does not describe measures to prevent discharge of solid materials to waters of the US, except as authorized by 404 permit	CO CGP N/A	\$500.00 =
17	SWMP does not describe measures to minimize off- site vehicle tracking and generation of dust	CO CGP I.C.3.c.6	\$500,00 =
18	SWMP does not include description of construction or waste materials expected to be stored on site w/updates re: controls used to reduce pollutants from these materials	CO CGP I.C.1.f, I.C.3.c.4, & I.C.3.c.7	\$250.00 =
19	SWMP does not have description of pollutant sources from areas other than construction (asphalt or concrete plants) w updates re: controls to reduce pollutants from these materials	CO CGP I.C.3.c.5	\$500.00 =
20	SWMP does not identify allowable sources of non- storm water discharges listed in subpart 1.3.B of the CGP	CO CGPI.C.1.g & I.C.3.c.8	\$500.00 =
21	SWMP does not identify/ensure implementation of pollution prevention measures for non-storm water discharges	CO CGP I.C.3.c.8	\$500.00 =
22	Endangered Species Act documentation is not in SWMP	CO CGP N/A	\$500.00 =
23	Historic Properties (Reserved)	U. C. S. S. S. S. S.	
24	Copy of permit and/or NOI not in SWMP (count each omission under 24 as 1 violation)	CO CGP N/A	\$250.00 =
25	SWMP is not consistent with requirements specified in applicable sediment and erosion site plans or site permits, or storm water management plans or site permits approved by State, Tribal or local officials (e.g., MS4 requirements)	CO CGP N/A	\$750.00 =
26	SWMP has not been updated to remain consistent with changes applicable to protecting surface waters in State, Tribal or local erosion plans	CO CGP N/A	\$250.00 =
27	Copies of inspection reports have not been retained as part of the SWMP for 3 years from date permit coverage terminates	CO CGP I.D.6.b.2 & I.F.2.a	\$500.00 =
28	SWMP has not been updated/modified to reflect change at site effecting discharge, or where inspections identify SWMP/BMPs as ineffective, updates to SWMP regarding modifications to BMPs not made within 7 days of such inspection (count each omission under 28 as 1 violation)	CO CGP I.D.5.c & I.D.6.c	\$50.00 =
29	Copy of SWMP not retained on site	CO CGP I.D.5.b &	\$500.00 =

	A SWMP not made available upon request		CO CGP I.D.10		-	\$500.00 =		
30	SWMP not signed/certified		CO CGP N/A			\$500.00 =		
				Sub	total SWM	P Deficiencies		\$5,000
				- Citto				
	INSPECTIONS							
31	Inspections not performed and documented either once every 7 days, or once every 14 days and within 24 hours after storm event greater than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: temp stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter conditions; construction during arid periods in arid areas) (Count each failure to inspect and document as one violation).	No stormwater inspections have been conducted at the site. Construction started on approximately 10/9/12. According to data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 0.69 inches of rain fell on 10/25/12 in Sterling, Colorado approximately 11.5 miles south-southeast of the site. If the SWMP had specified inspections every 14 days and within 24 hours of a rain event, based on the construction start date, three biweekly inspections and one post storm event inspection were missed (10/23/12, 10/26/12, 11/9/12, and 11/23/12) as of		Yes	4	\$250.00		\$1,000
	No inspections conducted and documented (if	the date of the EPA inspection. Provide copies of inspection reports for all inspections conducted after the EPA inspection. No stormwater inspections have been			TRUE	True or		
	True, then leave elements 32-39 blank)	conducted at the site.			INOC	False		
	Number of Inspections expected if performed every 7 days:	N/A						
	Number of Inspections expected if performed bi- weekly:	4						
	If known, number of days of rainfall of >0.5"	2 1	No.				V	1
32	Inspections not conducted by qualified personnel		CO CGP I.C.3.a			\$50.00		
33	All areas disturbed by construction activity or used for storage of materials and which exposed to precipitation not inspected		CO CGP I.D.6.b.1			\$50.00		
34	All pollution control measures not inspected to ensure proper operation		CO CGP I.D.6.b.1			\$50.00		
35	Discharge locations are not observed and inspected		CO CGP I.D.6.b.1			\$50,00		
36	For discharge locations that are not accessible, nearby locations are not inspected		CO CGP I.D.6.b.1		1	\$50.00		
37	Entrance/exit not inspected for off-site tracking		CO CGP I.D.6.b.1			\$50.00		
38	Site inspection report does not include: date, name and qualifications of inspector, weather information, location of sediment/pollutant discharge, BMP(s) requiring maintenance, BMP(s) that have failed, BMP(s) that are needed, corrective action required including changes/updates to SWMP and schedule/dates (count each omission under 38 as 1 violation)		CO CGP 1.D.6.b.2			\$50.00		
39	Inspection reports not properly signed/certified		CO CGP I.D.6.b.2.viii			\$50.00	=	
	(count each failure to sign/certify as 1 violation)		TANAGE TO STANKE THE			1 220		
			Su	btotal	Inspection	ns Deficiencie	s	\$1,000
	AVAILABILITY OF RECORDS							
40	Sign/notice not posted		CO CGP N/A			\$250.00		
	A Does not contain copy of complete NOI		CO CGP N/A			\$50.00		
	B Location of SWMP or contact person for scheduling viewing times where on-site location for SWMP unavailable not noted on sign		CO CGP N/A			\$50.00		

		BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES						
41		No velocity dissipation devices located at discharge locations or outfall channels to ensure non-erosive flow to receiving water		CO CGP N/A			\$500.00	
42	-	Control measures are not properly:	There were no BMPs observed at the		100		V-30-0-V	
		Selected, installed and maintained	site except for the rip rap that was being installed to protect the marina shores from wave erosion. This rip rap also acts as a stormwater BMP during construction. There were no control measures areas of the site where rip rap had not been installed. Provide the EPA photos of example stormwater controls at the site that have been implemented from the SWMP.	1, celeb 10, 2, 2, 4, 193.	Yes	1	\$500.00 =	\$500
	В	Maintenance not performed prior to next anticipated storm event		CO CGP I.D.7 & I.D.8	L.		\$250.00 =	=
		(count each failure to select, install, maintain each BMP as one violation						
43	í	When sediment escapes the site, it is not removed at a frequency necessary to minimize off-site impacts		CO CGP N/A			\$500.00	
44	ŀ	Litter, construction debris, and construction chemicals exposed to storm water are not prevented from becoming a pollutant source (e.g. screening outfalls, pickup daily, etc.)		CO CGP I.C.3.c.4			\$500.00	
45		Stabilization measures are not initiated as soon as practible on portions of the site where construction activities have temporarily or permanently ceased within 14 days after such cessation		CO CGP N/A			\$500.00	
		*Exceptions:			100			
		(a) Snow or frozen ground conditions			1			
		(b) Activities will be resumed within 14 days (c) Arid or Semi-arid areas (<20 inches per		n-				
46		Common Drainage of 10+ acres does not have a sedimentation basin for the 2 year, 24 hour storm, or 3600 cubic ft. storage per acre drained		CO CGP N/A			\$1,000.00	
	A	Where sedimentation basin not attainable, smaller sediment basins, sediment traps, or erosion controls not implemented for downslope boundaries		CO CGP N/A			\$1,000.00	
	В	Sediment not removed from sediment basin or traps when design capacity reduced by 50% or more		CO CGP N/A			\$500.00	=
47		Common Drainage less than 10 acres does not have sediment traps, silt fences, vegetative buffer strips, or equivalent sediment controls for all down slope boundaries (not required if sedimentation sediment basin meeting criteria in 46 above)		CO CGP N/A			\$500.00	
1	A	Sediment not removed from sediment trap when	1	CO CGP N/A	-		\$500.00	=

48	Is the Owner/Operator a Small Business?	Yes	1 L	
40	A small business is defined by EPA's Small Business Compliance Policy as: "a person, corporation, partnership, or other entity that employs 100 or fewer individuals (across all facilities and operations owned by the small business)." The number of employees should be considered as full-time equivalents on an annual			
	basis, including contract employees (see 40 CFR 372.3). A full time employee unit is 2000 hours worked per year.			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the original of the attached EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT in the matter of WYOMING ETHANOL, LLC.; DOCKET NO.: CWA-08-2013-0008 was filed with this office on January 22, 2013; the FINAL ORDER was filed on March 5, 2013.

Further, the undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the documents were delivered to, Margaret "Peggy" Livingston, Enforcement Attorney, U. S. EPA – Region 8, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, CO 80202-1129. True and correct copies of the aforementioned documents were resent and placed in the United States mail certified/return receipt on March 5, 2013 to:

Stacey Hoyt, Corporate Secretary 53 Corporation, LLC 1100 Whispering Oaks Drive Castle Rock, CO 80104

And emailed to:

Kim White U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Cincinnati Finance Center 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive (MS-0002) Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

March 5, 2013

Tina Artemis

Paralegal/Regional Hearing Clerk